

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,664	10/07/2004	Mehmet Nezir Gencer	1920-100US	1822
25881	7590 05/09/2006		EXAMINER	
EPSTEIN DRANGEL BAZERMAN & JAMES, LLP			RODRIGUEZ, JOSEPH C	
60 EAST 421 SUITE 820	ND STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10165			3653	
			DATE MAILED: 05/09/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/510,664	GENCER, MEHMET NEZIR
Examiner	Art Unit
Joseph C. Rodriguez	3653

	Joseph C. Rodnguez	3003	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	• •		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	:,		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	t door NOT place the amplication !	n condition for all a	aaa haaassaa
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	` · ·	· · · ———	
	•	8.8,00	
	//-	E.E.O.	
			•

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment fails to resolve the 112, 2nd issues raised in the final rejection and also contains language that would require more than nominal consideration. For instance, the shifting of the "closed form structure" language alters the scope of the claimed invention. Further, it is still unclear whether the elevator and secondary crusher are a necessary part of the claimed invention and if they are within the "closed form structure". Further, the specification fails to support the feature of "paddle boxes" and the specification itself remains inconsistent with the claimed structure (see e.g., p. 20-21 describing method steps wherein secondary crusher is covered by paddle box).